

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,851	WONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____

(2) Judith Byorick.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 54-59, 78, 80

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in ~~the Notice of Allowability~~ Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Callie Shosho
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Byorick.

The amendment to the specification was made to replace attorney docket numbers with application/Patent numbers.

The amendment to each of claims 1, 78, and 80 was made to ensure that each claim recites proper antecedent basis with respect to the ink.

The amendment to each of claims 54-59 was made to avoid potential claim objections under 37 CFR 1.75(c) and to ensure that each of the claims properly further limits the scope of the claim on which each depends, namely, claim 1.